# **EXECUTIVE DECISION**

## made by a Cabinet Member



### REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - SPHC03 22/23

## **Decision** Title of decision: Approval of High Rise Buildings Team Policy 2 Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Rebecca Smith (Cabinet Member for Homes & Communities) 3 Report author and contact details: Mark Chubb 01752 308989 4 Decision to be taken: To approve the High Rise Buildings Team Policy. 5 Reasons for decision:

There has been a significant amount of time that has passed since the Grenfell tragedy and there are still a significant amount of buildings that have unsafe-cladding on them, throughout the country and here in Plymouth. The Government has expressed, in a series of letters (see Appendix B), its continued desire for local authorities to work closely with local fire services and utilise their powers for expediting cladding remediation and improvements to fire safety, through service of notices if necessary and appropriate.

For existing high-rise/higher-risk buildings the significant timescale limitations of Building Control and Planning departments' legislative enforcement powers, means that the enduring powers of the Housing Act 2004 and The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Act 2005 will often be the most appropriate legislative tools for enforcement. At Plymouth we have formed a strong professional working partnership with Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Service to agree a joint service approach to these buildings.

The work of the High Rise Buildings Team needs to be supported by a strong Policy and set of procedures to support a fair and consistent approach, as well as set reasonable expectations of what to expect for the end service users. The draft policy has been shared with colleagues at Plymouth City Council, Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Service, and national colleagues at the Local Government Association. All have responded positively and the LGA have requested it be used to share with other local authorities via a freely accessible forum, for local authorities, called Knowledge Hub.

### 6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

There are no reasonable other options available for consideration, as operating without a policy would make the organisation susceptible to legal challenges at Tribunal, in respect of formal enforcement action taken (e.g. service of formal notices, and prosecution or imposition of a financial penalty as an alternative for non-compliance with a formal notice).

## 7 Financial implications:

The High Rise Buildings Team received internal endorsement in May 2022 with the HRBT Manager commencing work from September 2022 to build the team. The financial costs of the team have been agreed previously and have not changed. The HRBT policy does not create any additional cost considerations.

8	Is the decision a Key Decision?  (please contact Democratic	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:			
	Support for further advice)		X	in the case of <b>capital</b> projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of <b>£3million</b> in total			
			X	in the case of <b>revenue</b> projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of <b>£I million</b>			
			X	is <b>significant</b> in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising <b>two or more</b> wards in the area of the local authority.			

If yes, date of publication of the notice in the <u>Forward Plan of Key</u> Decisions

N/A

Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:

### **Corporate Plan**

<u>Values – Fairness</u> – Introducing the HRBT policy creates a consistent approach to tackling safety concerns. Prioritisation of inspections is based on building safety information, and resulting action is determined by the findings. The policy will be accessible from our website so service users and communities can understand what to expect from the High Rise Building Team.

<u>Values – Collaborative</u> – The HRBT policy demonstrates the collaborative approach, with joint authority partnership working between Plymouth City Council and Devon and Somerset Fire and Rescue Service.

<u>Priorities - Caring for People and Communities –</u> Improving safety conditions in High Rise Buildings helps

to keep children, young people and adults protected. It enables people to feel safer in their homes and also helps to reduce health inequalities across the city. Plymouth Plan for Homes 3 **Improving Housing Conditions in PRS** – The assessment of housing conditions and taking formal action in respect of severe hazards helps to raise the standard of accommodation. As standards improve across the city, we should see a decrease in health inequalities. Improvements to accommodation can be physical in terms of the building but can also be in the management of the property. Limited to None. 10 Please specify any direct environmental implications of **Inspections** do require travel but many locations are the decision (carbon impact) walkable and we will prioritise, wherever possible, the use of the electric pool cars. This is not seen to be an increase as we get to inspect anything up to 100+ dwellings with one property visit and element of travel. This compares favourably against standard housing inspections which consist predominantly of 1-6 dwellings per property. Paper usage inspections of high-rise buildings will generate a significant amount of notes and reports. However, comparable to standard housing inspections, it is not an increase if you consider the paperwork per dwelling. Furthermore, most documentation will be stored and transferred digitally which has no effect. **Urgent decisions** П Is the decision urgent and to be Yes (If yes, please contact Democratic Support implemented immediately in the (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for interests of the Council or the advice) public? No X (If no, go to section 13a) I2a Reason for urgency: I2b **Scrutiny Date** Chair Signature: **Scrutiny** Committee

### Consultation

name:

**Print Name:** 

					I				
I3a		any other Cabinet members'	Yes						
	portfolios affected by the decision?		No	X	(If no go to section	n 14)			
I3b		ch other Cabinet member's folio is affected by the decision?	N/A						
I3c	Date	Cabinet member consulted	N/A						
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		Yes		If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer				
			No X						
15	Which Corporate Management Team member has been consulted?		Name		Anna Coles				
			Job title		Strategic Director for People, Strategic Cooperative Commissioning.				
			Date consulted		16 January 2023				
Sign-	-off								
16	Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:		Democratic Support (mandatory)			DS92 22/23			
			Finance (mandatory)			DJN.22.23.340			
			Legal	l (manda	tory)	LS/39634/HM			
			Human Resources (if applicable)			N/A			
				orate pr cable)	operty (if	N/A			
				urement	(if applicable)	N/A			
App	endic	es							
17		Title of appendix							
- •	Α	Briefing report for publication (mandatory)							
	В	HRBT Policy document							
	С	Letters From Government							
	D	Financial Consideration							
	E	HRBT Proactive Inspection + Enforcement Processes							
	F	Equalities Impact Assessment							
	<u> </u>	Equancies impact Assessment							

Conf	fidential/ex	empt information										
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?		Yes		bri	If yes, prepare a second, confident briefing report and indicate why it publication by virtue of Part Tof So			hy it is no	t is not for		
			No	X	of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box in <b>18b</b> below.							
				bri	(Keep as much information briefing report that will be domain)			-				
	1		Exemption Paragraph Num						nber			
			ı		2	3	4	5	6	7		
I8b	Confident title:	ial/exempt briefing report										
Back	ground Pa	pers										
19	Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.											
	disclose factoring the information	Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part Tof schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.										
	Title of background paper(s)			Exemption Paragraph Number								
					2	3	4	5	6	7		
Cabi	net Membe	er Signature										
20	I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act (2010) and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.											
Sign	ature	Rymith	Date	of de	cisic	on 2	25 January 2023					
Prin	t <b>N</b> ame	Cllr Rebecca Smith										